1	Í		
	Case 2:22-cv-02038-AC Document 2	1 Filed 01/05/23	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	BRANDON MIGUEL ESCAMILLA,	No. 2:22-cv-20	38 AC P
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	A. OBOYLE, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. ECF No. 20. The United States		
18	Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent		
19	prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In		
20	certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the voluntary assistance of		
21	counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir.		
22	1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).		
23	"When determining whether 'exceptional circumstances' exist, a court must consider 'the		
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims		
25	pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965,		
26	970 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983)). The burden		
27	of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. Id. Circumstances common to		

most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish

Case 2:22-cv-02038-AC Document 21 Filed 01/05/23 Page 2 of 2

exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.

Plaintiff seeks appointment of counsel on the ground that he suffers from bi-polar disorder and anxiety. ECF No. 20. However, the mere claim that plaintiff suffers from mental health conditions is not enough to establish exceptional circumstances warranting appointment of counsel. Moreover, plaintiff has, up to this point, demonstrated that he is capable of articulating his claims without assistance. If plaintiff chooses to file another motion for appointment of counsel in the future, he should identify what conditions he suffers from, explain how his conditions prevent him from proceeding without assistance, and provide medical documentation supporting his claimed impairments.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 20) is DENIED.

DATED: January 5, 2023

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE